

**Assignment 3:** Recursions and Complexity

Due: Wednesday, June 29, at 19:00 (7:00 pm)

**1.** Double Tower of Hanoi contains  $2n$  disks of  $n$  different sizes, with two disks of each size. You must move all  $2n$  disks from one peg to another, but you may move only one disk at a time, without putting a larger disk over a smaller one. How many moves does it take to transfer a double tower from one peg to another if disks of equal size are indistinguishable from one another? Find a recurrence relation for the number of moves. Then, solve the recurrence relation.

**2.** Below is pseudocode for a modified merge sort algorithm. This new algorithm partitions the list into four sublists instead of the usual two:

**procedure** newmergesort( $a[1, \dots, n]$ )

**input:**

**output:**

**if**  $n > 1$  **then**

$L_1 = \text{merge}\left(\text{newmergesort}\left(a[1, \dots, \lfloor n/4 \rfloor]\right), \text{newmergesort}\left(a[\lfloor n/4 \rfloor + 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor]\right)\right)$

$L_1 = \text{merge}\left(\text{newmergesort}\left(a[\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1, \dots, \lfloor 3n/4 \rfloor]\right), \text{newmergesort}\left(a[\lfloor 3n/4 \rfloor + 1, \dots, n]\right)\right)$

$\text{merge}(L_1, L_2)$

Complete the following two problems to determine if it is possible to improve the complexity of merge sort by partitioning the list into more than two lists of smaller sizes.

- Analyze the worst-case runtime of the new merge sort (you may make reasonable assumptions about the length of the list).
- Compare the complexity of the original merge sort with the complexity of the new merge sort.

**3.** Solve the following recurrences:

a)  $T(n) = 7T(n-1) - 10T(n-2)$  for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $T(0) = 2$  and  $T(1) = 1$ .

b)  $T(n) = 6T(n-1) - 8T(n-2)$  for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $T(0) = 4$  and  $T(1) = 10$ .

c)  $T(n) = T(n-2)$  for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $T(0) = 5$  and  $T(1) = -1$ .

d)  $T(n) = -4T(n-1) + 5T(n-2)$  for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $T(0) = 2$  and  $T(1) = 8$ .