

What is the  
purpose of this

*Information.* Your first paper will be due, online, by 9:00am on June 1. You are to bring a draft of your paper with you to class on May 30 so you can "workshop" it with other members of the class. The paper itself should be five to six pages (5-6 pp.) double-spaced. For your font, use Times New Roman 12pt., with the 1" margins. These papers are short. This is intentional: I want you to learn how to write cleanly, forcefully, and succinctly. Don't write a paper that's merely a summary of the readings thus far. Write with prosaic and not poetic language. Don't consult or use any secondary sources. For further information about the virtues your paper should demonstrate refer to the "Paper Structure" handout, which I've uploaded to the "Supplemental Materials" section of our Blackboard page. Print this handout and bring it with you to class on May 30. *Remember:* I don't accept late work, under any circumstances, so it's your responsibility to submit your paper on time.

*Plagiarism.* All students at the University of Evansville agree to the University honor code: *I will neither give nor receive unauthorized aid, nor will I tolerate an environment that condones the use of unauthorized aid.*

I have zero tolerance for plagiarism. If you're caught plagiarizing, you'll receive an *F for the course* and be reported to the University's Dean of Students for engaging in academic misconduct. It's your responsibility to know what does and doesn't constitute plagiarism.

*Here's the essay prompt.* Ethicists seek to address issues of moral and political concern. But on what terms? *Religious* ethicists address issues of moral and political concern drawing resources from one or another religious tradition. For religious ethicists, religion affects not only *what* we call a moral or political problem but also *how* we ought to address it. Both Miller and Hauerwas have views about religious ethics. Compare and contrast their respective views. Which thinker's view about religious ethics is more plausible? Why? How might the thinker you find less plausible respond?

IF I P can make we tell what  
he think the we tell Hauerwas  
respond

War of  
Pencil vs. Ink

*Information.* Your first paper will be due, online, by 9:00am on June 1. You are to bring a draft of your paper with you to class on May 30 so you can "workshop" it with other members of the class. The paper itself should be five to six pages (5-6 pp.) double-spaced. For your font, use Times New Roman 12pt., with the 1" margins. These papers are short. This is intentional: I want you to learn how to write cleanly, forcefully, and succinctly. Don't write a paper that's merely a summary of the readings thus far. Write with prosaic and not poetic language. Don't consult or use any secondary sources. For further information about the virtues your paper should demonstrate refer to the "Paper Structure" handout, which I've uploaded to the "Supplemental Materials" section of our Blackboard page. Print this handout and bring it with you to class on May 30. *Remember:* I don't accept late work, under any circumstances, so it's your responsibility to submit your paper on time.

*Plagiarism.* All students at the University of Evansville agree to the University honor code: *I will neither give nor receive unauthorized aid, nor will I tolerate an environment that condones the use of unauthorized aid.*

I have zero tolerance for plagiarism. If you're caught plagiarizing, you'll receive an F *for the course* and be reported to the University's Dean of Students for engaging in academic misconduct. It's your responsibility to know what does and doesn't constitute plagiarism.

*Here's the essay prompt.* Ethicists seek to address issues of moral and political concern. But on what terms? *Religious* ethicists address issues of moral and political concern drawing resources from one or another religious tradition. For religious ethicists, religion affects not only *what* we call a moral or political problem but also *how* we ought to address it. Both Miller and Hauerwas have views about religious ethics. Compare and contrast their respective views. Which thinker's view about religious ethics is more plausible? Why? How might the thinker you find less plausible respond?

IF I pick Miller we tell what he thinks then we tell Hauerwas respond