

Assessment Brief	
Program	Bachelor of Business
Subject code	MGT201A
Subject name	Project Management
Assessment title	Case Study
Group or individual assessment	Individual
Length	750 word (+/- 10%)
Learning outcomes addressed	A, B and C
Submission date	Week 5
Total marks	100 Marks
Weighting	15%

Assessment Brief:

Jose, D. 2009. A Case Study of Successful Project Management in Two Indigenous Communities. *International Journal of Critical Indigenous Studies*, 2(1), 43 – 48.

Available at: www.isrn.qut.edu.au/pdf/ijcis/v2n1_2009/Final_Jose.pdf

This case study can be found at the link above. The assignment requires you to read this case report and search it for two items:

- (1) The positives in this project (what went well)
- (2) The setbacks in this project (challenges and setbacks)

You are not required to make any recommendations for improvement. Rather, you are reading the report to simply identify, list and discuss the positives and setbacks. Two (2) positives and two (2) setbacks are to be identified and detailed. Each positive or setback is detailed in 2 paragraphs where you identify the issue, why you think it went right (or wrong) and use references from the literature that discuss this weakness or strength in projects (at least 2 unique sources per topic). For example, if you identify that the budget for this project was exceeded, you will identify the cost overrun and cite references that discuss cost overruns being a common problem in projects. Some positives and setbacks are clear as they are explicitly mentioned, while others may be implied and will need you to read into the case report and make conclusions about those strengths or weaknesses.

The assignment takes the form of a report with the specified headings in the summary above. The purpose of the report is to assess your ability to read a project case report, identify project management issues, and make reference to supporting project management literature on the topic.

Marking Criteria:

Student Name:	Excellent 3	Good 1.5	Average 1.0	Not adequate 0.5	Poor 0
Structure					
Identifies 3 positives and setbacks					
Reference to relevant literature					
Applied project management terminology					
Clear and purposeful					
Well organised, logical					
Appropriate length					
Appropriate structure for type of assignment					
Comments:	/21%				
Style	Excellent 3	Good 2	Average 1	Not adequate 0.5	Poor 0
Writing is clear and concise					
Good paragraph structure					
Overall presentation					
Comments:	/9%				
Referencing	Excellent 2.5	Good 2	Average 1.5	Not adequate 1	Very Poor 0
Use of varied sources					
Recognised referencing style					
Recognised reference/bibliography layout					
Quality of sources used					
Comments:	/10%				
Content	Excellent 15	Good 12	Average 8	Not adequate 5	Poor 0
Was the topic/question addressed?					
Mature understanding of material					
Understanding of what is important					
Independent thought used					
Comments:	/60%				

Overall Comments:

Total Mark : /100%