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Nuclear Bombs Usage Should Be Banned

It has determined that nuclear bombs should be prohibited because it is harmful to mankind. Nuclear booms affect the relationships between nations. Recently, there have been several studies about the negative effects of nuclear bombs and recommend they be prohibited because they are mostly made to cause destruction to enemies and harm innocent people. A nuclear bomb, which is also known as a nuclear weapon, is an explosive device. The most destructive bombs come from a combination of nuclear reactions that can result in what is called a thermonuclear weapon or bomb. These reactions have the capabilities of releasing huge amounts of energy from a minuscule amount of matter. In most cases, nuclear bombs are used in mass destruction. However, the control of nuclear weapons still a major effect of the international relations policy. Therefore, nuclear bombs should be banned because they kill humans and harm the environment.

Nuclear weapons have caused excitement in past times and continue to be created. It is considered to have a critical or anticipated background which enables scientists and nations campaigns for its prohibition. According to Gusterson and Hugh, Nuclear bombs possess destructive energy from the fission or the combination of fission and fusion reactivity (Gusterson and Hugh 114-115). The first nuclear test ever to have been used was in the year 1945 (114). This was the first nuclear bomb explosion which was conducted by the United States of America in New Mexico (114). After barely two weeks, America dropped another nuclear bomb on the city of Hiroshima in Japan which had a negative impact on the Japanese since it eliminated thousands of people in Japan (115). After three days, the United States of America bombed Nagasaki and more than seventy thousand individuals were killed, and a similar number of individuals were injured (115). It is at this time that Japan surrendered to America, and this resulted in the end of the Second World War.

After a number of years, the United States of America and other nations, including Great Britain, ensured that they conducted several tests regarding the nuclear bombs. After approximately ten years, the President of India called for the banning of the usage of nuclear bombs for mass destruction and testing. He was the first individual to have the initiative to ban the nuclear testing and, at the same time, mass destruction. This is the time when scientists presented a petition to the United Nations Secretary General to take immediate action, which would lead to the international agreement to stop using them and also testing them.

However, there was increased testing of the nuclear bombs since France and China were able to test their nuclear weapons. In 1968, the United States of America, Soviet Nations, and other countries which were estimated to be more than sixty, entered into an agreement which sought to bar usage of nuclear bombs (Shultz and George.89). The usage of the weapon would be for the purpose of ensuring peace in the world. This is the time when the United Nations banned the usage of nuclear weapons for destruction. After these events, the United Nations started to call for banning nuclear weapons. It has been a long history since the Second World War until the moment it was banned across the world. It is important for future governments to understand these past events in order to show the negative effects of using nuclear booms.

The nuclear debate consists of arguments which surround its threat, benefits or use and at the same time the stockpiling of the nuclear weapons. Even before the introduction of the initial nuclear weapon, most of the scientists were diverse in opinion regarding the usefulness of the weapon. However, nuclear bombs have only been used in two occurrences (Mazarr and Michael 16-17). One argument for the abolishment of nuclear bombs use, no country should have them. This is because these nuclear bombs have the potential to harm and destroy. Also, their explosions usually result in death and at the same time diseases related to the explosion. Thus, there is no need of having such weapons for destruction. This is because all humans are family and there is no need for destroying others. We are supposed to be helping one another. Besides, if all the nuclear bombs are banned, it will ensure that the world remains at peace. There should be no country which will be responsible for having the weapons under any circumstance. This is an indication that all the countries will know that there is no threat in the world. Furthermore, nuclear bombs should be abolished because they have a negative impact on climate. The world climate has been altered by the numerous uses of nuclear weapons. This is clearly noted by the increased global warming in the world (Perkovich and George). Therefore, there is the need to band the usage and at the same time remove the existence of nuclear bombs.

On the other hand, there are arguments against the abolishing of nuclear bombs and weapons. Firstly, all the super power nations have nuclear weapons, which helps them provide peace. This is because other nations are fearing to use them because they know others have them. Also, those who support nuclear booms say: the existence of nuclear weapon is the reason why we have not experienced World War Three (Quinlan and Michael 10-11). As a result, it is important to have nuclear weapons since it lets people feel comfortable and secure.

Apparently, Nuclear weapons are not entitled in preaching peace in the world and this remains the main factor which should be considered in prohibiting their existence. These are weapons which are usually used to determine the stronger nations. The nuclear bombs or weapons were used mostly in the Second World War which led to the death of many innocent lives. The weapon has also affected many families in the world due to increased diseases as a result of those reactions. Also, the climate has changed, and it has led to global warming. From these many negative effects of the nuclear weapons, I can stand by the argument that they should be abolished all through in the world. Therefore, in my opinion, good steps we are trying to ensure that there is a prohibition of nuclear weapons on a global label.

The world remained in despair when there was the usage of the nuclear weapons. Most of the powerful nations were in an endless war for the purpose of determining who is the most powerful among them. It led to numerous deaths of individuals who seemed to be innocents. Therefore, it is very important to prohibit the existence of the nuclear weapon in the entire world since there will be peace and unity among nations. Also, there will be no destruction of the environment which has brought about climate change.
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