**Yousef Almarshdi**

**Prof. Karen W. Peterson**

**English 102**

**4 NOV 2016**

Should Nuclear Bombs Usage Be Prohibited?

**Introduction**

A nuclear bomb which is also known as a nuclear weapon is an explosive device. The nuclear bomb derives its destructive force or reaction from the nuclear reactions. Most of the time, this reaction can either be through fusion or fission (Rabinowitch and Eugene 283). However, the reaction can be a combination of both fission and fusion, which can result in a thermonuclear weapon or bomb. These reactions have the capabilities of releasing huge amounts of energy from a minuscule amount of matter. In most cases, nuclear bombs are used in mass destruction. However, their use and control have remained a main focus of the international relations policy of the world. The history or the different stages in which nuclear bombs or weapons passed through will be analyzed. In addition, the argument of whether there is the need of banning the usage and testing of nuclear bombs will be analyzed.

**History and background**

Nuclear bombs possess destructive energy from the fission or the combination of fission and fusion reactivity. The first nuclear test ever to be have been used is in the year 1945. This was the first nuclear bomb explosion which was conducted by the United States of America in New Mexico. After barely two weeks, America dropped another nuclear bomb on the city of Hiroshima in Japan which had a negative impact on the Japanese since it eliminated thousands of people in Japan (Gusterson & Hugh, pp.114-115). After three days, the United States of America bombed Nagasaki and more than seventy thousand individuals were killed, and a similar number of individuals were injured. It is at this time that Japan surrendered to America and this resulted in the end of the Second World War.

After a number of years, the United States of America and other nations, including Great Britain, ensured that they conducted several tests regarding the nuclear bombs. After approximately ten years, the President of India called for the banning of the usage of nuclear bombs for mass destruction and testing. He was the first individual to have the initiative of banning the nuclear testing and, at the same time, mass destruction. This is the time when scientists presented a petition to the United Nations Secretary General to take immediate action, which would lead to the international agreement to stop using them and at the same time testing of the nuclear bombs.

However, there was increased testing of the nuclear bombs since France and China were able to test their nuclear weapons. In 1968, the United States of America, Soviet Nations and other countries which were estimated to be more than sixty, entered into an agreement which sought to bar usage of the nuclear bombs (Shultz & George, p.89). The usage of the weapon would be for the purpose of ensuring peace in the world. This is the time when the United Nations banned the usage of the nuclear weapons for destruction.

Therefore, it had remained to be a process from when the usage of the nuclear weapon was started to the point when the United Nations banned its testing and mass destruction. It has been a long history since the Second World War until the moment it was banned across the world. This history is relevant since it indicates how nuclear weapons have been employed for mass destruction and how its ends were realized. Also, generations can have a knowledge of the impact of nuclear weapons on destruction.

**Overview of the different positions**

The nuclear debate consists of the arguments which surround its threat, benefits or use and at the same time the stockpiling of the nuclear weapons. Even before the introduction of the initial nuclear weapon was held, most of the scientists were diverse in opinion regarding the usefulness of the weapon. However, nuclear bombs have only been used in two occurrences. Both were during the Second World War. The weapon was used by the Americans when they dropped nuclear bombs on the cities of Japan (Mazarr & Michael, pp. 16-17). This led to the surrender of the government of the Japanese to America on matters of ethical justifications.

Some of the arguments for the abolishment of the usage of the nuclear bombs includes, firstly, there is no individual who is interested in them. This is because these nuclear bombs have the potential to harm and destroy. Also, this explosion usually results in death and at the same time diseases related to the explosion. Thus, there is no need of having such weapons for destruction. This is because all humans are family and there is no need for destroying others. We are supposed to be helping one another. Besides, if all the nuclear bombs are banned, it will ensure that the world remains at peace. There should be no country which will be responsible for having the weapons under any circumstance. This is an indication that all the countries will know that there is no threat in the world.

Furthermore, the nuclear bombs should be abolished because they have a negative impact on climate. The world climate has been altered by the numerous usage of nuclear weapons. This is clearly noted by the increased global warming in the world (Perkovich & George, p.67). Therefore, there is the need of abolishing the usage and at the same time remove the existence of the nuclear bombs.

On the other hand, there are arguments against the abolishing of nuclear bombs and weapons. Firstly, all the super power nations have nuclear weapons, and it remains to be a source of peace in the world. This is because other nations are fearing to use them because they know others have them. Also, the existence of the nuclear weapon is the reason why we have not experienced the World War Three (Quinlan & Michael, pp. 10-11). As a result, it is important to have nuclear weapons since it let people feel comfortable.

**Conclusion**

The nuclear bombs or weapons were used mostly in the Second World War which led to the death of many innocent lives. The weapon has also affected many families in the world due to increased diseases as a result of those reactions. Also, the climate has changed, and it has led to global warming.

The argument of abolishing of the usage of nuclear bombs is a two way arguments. This is because some individuals are for its existence while others are against its existence. What is most important is that all the countries around the globe practice peace. This is because nuclear bombs can be abolished, but the world is not completely at peace. Therefore, peace is of much importance for a good coexistence among nations.
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