

CONDITION OF HEALTH * SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION

Crosstab

			SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION				Total
			LOWER CLASS	WORKING CLASS	MIDDLE CLASS	UPPER CLASS	
CONDITION OF HEALTH	EXCELLENT	Count	14	128	183	22	347
		% within SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION	13.0%	22.1%	32.2%	53.7%	26.8%
	GOOD	Count	39	276	262	17	594
		% within SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION	36.1%	47.8%	46.0%	41.5%	45.8%
	FAIR	Count	34	134	102	2	272
		% within SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION	31.5%	23.2%	17.9%	4.9%	21.0%
	POOR	Count	21	40	22	0	83
		% within SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION	19.4%	6.9%	3.9%	.0%	6.4%
Total		Count	108	578	569	41	1296
		% within SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	84.293 ^a	9	.000
Likelihood Ratio	78.697	9	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	70.110	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	1296		

a. 1 cells (6.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.63.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.255	.000
	Cramer's V	.147	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.247	.000
N of Valid Cases		1296	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Directional Measures

			Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Lambda	Symmetric	.042	.013	3.220	.001
		CONDITION OF HEALTH Dependent	.007	.009	.801	.423
		SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION Dependent	.077	.024	3.131	.002
	Goodman and Kruskal tau	CONDITION OF HEALTH Dependent	.017	.004		.000 ^c
		SUBJECTIVE CLASS IDENTIFICATION Dependent	.017	.005		.000 ^c

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

c. Based on chi-square approximation

CONDITION OF HEALTH * MARITAL STATUS

Crosstab

			MARITAL STATUS					Total
			MARRIED	WIDOWED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	NEVER MARRIED	
CONDITION OF HEALTH	EXCELLENT	Count % within MARITAL STATUS	200 32.7%	13 12.7%	37 17.8%	10 22.2%	90 26.5%	350 26.8%
	GOOD	Count % within MARITAL STATUS	274 44.8%	46 45.1%	104 50.0%	13 28.9%	161 47.4%	598 45.8%
	FAIR	Count % within MARITAL STATUS	107 17.5%	29 28.4%	49 23.6%	14 31.1%	76 22.4%	275 21.1%
	POOR	Count % within MARITAL STATUS	30 4.9%	14 13.7%	18 8.7%	8 17.8%	13 3.8%	83 6.4%
Total		Count % within MARITAL STATUS	611 100.0%	102 100.0%	208 100.0%	45 100.0%	340 100.0%	1306 100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	60.589 ^a	12	.000
Likelihood Ratio	58.134	12	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.334	1	.037
N of Valid Cases	1306		

a. 1 cells (5.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 2.86.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.215	.000
	Cramer's V	.124	.000
	Contingency Coefficient	.211	.000
N of Valid Cases		1306	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Directional Measures

			Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Lambda	Symmetric	.001	.004	.192	.847
		CONDITION OF HEALTH Dependent	.001	.007	.192	.847
		MARITAL STATUS Dependent	.000	.000	c	c
Goodman and Kruskal tau		CONDITION OF HEALTH Dependent	.013	.004		.000 ^d
		MARITAL STATUS Dependent	.012	.004		.000 ^d

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

c. Cannot be computed because the asymptotic standard error equals zero.

d. Based on chi-square approximation