

CRJ336 Criminal Investigations
Critical Thinking Rubric - Module 5

	Meets Expectation	Approaches Expectation	Below Expectation	Limited Evidence
Content, Research, and Analysis				
	17-20 Points	13-16 Points	9-12 Points	5-8 Points
Requirements	The paper or PowerPoint includes all of the required components, as specified in the assignment.	Includes most of the required components, as specified in the assignment.	Includes some of the required components, as specified in the assignment.	Includes few of the required components, as specified in the assignment.
	17-20 Points	13-16 Points	9-12 Points	5-8 Points
Critical Analysis	Provides a strong critical analysis and interpretation of the Dinnerstet burglaries MO and suspect identification or investigative techniques for insurance fraud.	Some significant but not major errors or omissions in analysis and interpretation.	Major errors or omissions in analysis and interpretation.	Fails to provide critical analysis and interpretation of the information given.
	17-20 Points	13-16 Points	9-12 Points	5-8 Points
Content	Demonstrates strong or adequate knowledge of the materials; correctly represents knowledge from the readings and sources.	Some significant but not major errors or omissions in demonstration of knowledge.	Major errors or omissions in demonstration of knowledge.	Fails to demonstrate knowledge of the materials.
	13-15 Points	10-12 Points	7-9 Points	4-6 Points
Sources/ Examples	Sources or examples meet required criteria and are well chosen to provide substance and perspectives on the issue under examination.	Sources or examples meet required criteria but are less than adequately chosen to provide substance and perspectives on the issue under examination.	Sources or examples do not meet required criteria and/or are poorly chosen to provide substance and perspectives on the issue under examination.	Source or example selection and integration of knowledge from the course is clearly deficient.
Mechanics and Writing				
	9-10 Points	7-8 Points	5-6 Points	3-4 Points

Demonstrates college-level proficiency in organization, grammar and style.	Project is clearly organized, well written, and in proper format as outlined in the assignment. Strong sentence and paragraph structure; few errors in grammar and spelling.	Project is fairly well organized and written, and is in proper format as outlined in the assignment. Reasonably good sentence and paragraph	Project is poorly organized; does not follow proper paper format. Inconsistent to inadequate sentence and paragraph development; numerous errors in	Project is not organized or well written, and is not in proper paper format. Poor quality work; unacceptable in terms of grammar and spelling.
	9-10 Points	7-8 Points	5-6 Points	3-4 Points
Demonstrates proper use of APA style	Project contains proper APA formatting, according to the CSU-Global Guide to Writing and APA Requirements , with no more than one significant error.	Few errors in APA formatting, according to the CSU-Global Guide to Writing and APA Requirements , with no more than two to three significant errors.	Significant errors in APA formatting, according to the CSU-Global Guide to Writing and APA Requirements , with four to five significant errors.	Numerous errors in APA formatting, according to the CSU-Global Guide to Writing and APA Requirements , with more than five significant errors.

Total points possible = 95